

VILLAGE OF ESSEX JUNCTION TRUSTEES **TOWN OF ESSEX SELECTBOARD Subcommittee on Governance**

Special Meeting Agenda

Essex Junction, VT 05452 Thursday, June 13, 2019

7:00 PM

2 Lincoln Street

Phone: (802) 878-1341

E-mail: manager@essex.org

www.essexjunction.org www.essex.org

The Governance Subcommittee consists of two members of the Essex Junction Board of Trustees and two members of the Essex Selectboard. The members will not discuss or take action on any issue outside of the scope of the subcommittee and shall not act as the Town Selectboard or Village Board of Trustees at the meeting.

CALL TO ORDER [7:00 PM] 1.

- 2. AGENDA ADDITIONS/CHANGES
- 3. **APPROVE AGENDA**
- 4. **PUBLIC TO BE HEARD**
- 5. **BUSINESS ITEMS**
 - a. Governance outreach project kickoff workshop with KSV
 - b. Approval of minutes: June 6, 2019
- 6. **READING FILE**
- 7. **ADJOURN**

Members of the public are encouraged to speak during the Public to Be Heard agenda item, during a Public Hearing, or, when recognized by the Chair or President, during consideration of a specific agenda item. The public will not be permitted to participate when a motion is being discussed except when specifically requested by the Chair or President. This agenda is available in alternative formats upon request. Meetings, like all programs and activities of the Village of Essex Junction and the Town of Essex, are accessible to people with disabilities. For information on accessibility or this agenda, call the Unified Manager's office at 878-1341.

Certification: 06/11/2019	Metchell
---------------------------	----------



Town of Essex, VT Town Merger Research Services Statement of Work June 7, 2019

Project Overview

The Town of Essex has separate governing bodies for Essex Town vs. the Village of Essex Junction. And while some services have been combined over the years, many community services remain separate.

The community leaders are looking to move to a fully consolidated municipal system and have developed three operating options for community review and feedback.

A research project will be conducted to help narrow down to and refine the best option for the town leading up to a town-wide vote in November 2020 with the goal of obtaining 80%+ voter approval.

Deliverables

Project Kickoff Workshop

Objectives

- Confirm desired survey / focus group representation breakdown (town vs. village & age, etc.)
- Explore potential research questions
- Review detailed project schedule
- Discuss focus group locations and logistics

Format

• 2-hour in-person meeting with project subcommittee



Initial Residential Survey (Qualitative)

Objectives

- 1. Determine the level of awareness of town merger discussions and favorability among residents.
- 2. Understand potential challenges and perceived benefits of merging functions and
- 3. Uncover potential issues to probe during focus groups and future survey.

Format

- (1) Online survey
 - While the survey would include some multiple choice questions, the focus would be on open-ended questions to allow residents to share with us their top-of-mind challenges or perceived benefits to help inform the development of focus group discussion guide questions
 - Residents will not be exposed to the three proposed operating options in this survey
- KSV will draft, program and host the survey
- Essex to provide feedback and approval on the questions
- Survey link to be deployed by Town of Essex
- KSV to share a debrief of survey findings along with all raw data / responses

Residential Focus Groups

Objectives

- 1. Gather resident feedback on three proposed operating options, including potential issues, perceived benefits, and recommended improvements to each option.
- 2. Determine whether revisions should be made to any of the options before gathering additional feedback.
- 3. Use feedback to inform the development of a survey that will be deployed to residents.

Format

- (6) 90-minute focus group sessions
 - 2 groups of 8-10 Town of Essex residents only
 - o 2 groups of 8-10 Village of Essex Junction residents only
 - 2 groups of 8-10 residents from the Village and Town combined
- Each group will have a mix of genders, incomes, ages, and number of years lived in the Town or Village
- KSV to develop focus group recruitment screener, schedule focus group participants, develop a focus group facilitation guide, and moderate focus groups



- Town of Essex to deploy recruitment screener link and provide facilities for focus group sessions
- Town of Essex will observe focus groups and can pass additional question suggestions to the moderator during the focus group, but KSV recommends that the KSV moderator lead the discussion
- KSV to present report of focus group findings and recommendations for the follow-up quantitative survey
- KSV will video or audio record and transcribe all focus group sessions

Proposed Merger 3-Option Survey (Quantitative)

Objectives

- 1. Gather quantitative feedback from residents on the three proposed operating options, including challenges and benefits of each approach.
- 2. Determine which option is the favorite among residents that will be placed on the ballot for the November 2020 election.
- 3. Determine whether revisions should be made to the "winning" option prior to putting it on the ballot.

Format

- (1) Online residential survey
- KSV will draft, program and host the survey
- Essex to provide feedback and approval on the questions
- KSV to present research findings in person
- KSV to provide all raw survey data

Timing

Project completion by early fall. A detailed schedule will be provided separately from this SOW.

Pricing

\$39,875



Billing Schedule

Payment terms are net thirty (30) days from invoice. Invoices will be generated based on the following schedule:

•	June (upon SOW approval)	\$7,975
•	July	\$7,975
•	August	\$7,975
•	September	\$7,975
•	October (upon project completion)	\$7,975

Pricing Terms and Assumptions

- The above pricing is subject to revision based on KSV's further understanding of the scope of the project as presented by the Town of Essex.
- All revisions requested after the round of feedback indicated per deliverable will be considered as a scope change and will result in an hourly-incurred rate of \$150 per hour or negotiated fee by the Town of Essex and KSV.
- If a component need arises that is not anticipated in this scope of work, KSV will perform the additional work at a mutually agreed upon price before the service is provided. This service will be billed to the Town of Essex, as part of a change order, and will be payable according to terms.

Confidentiality

KSV acknowledges that all information and documents disclosed by the Town of Essex to KSV constitute valuable assets of, and are proprietary to, the Town of Essex. KSV also acknowledges that it has a responsibility to protect this information and use it only for its intended purposes.

Accepted:				
	Name, Title			
	Date			

1	VILLAGE OF ESSEX JUNCTION TRUSTEES
2	TOWN OF ESSEX SELECTBOARD
3	SUBCOMMITTEE ON GOVERNANCE - SPECIAL MEETING
4	JUNE 6, 2019
5	
6 7	GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS: George Tyler, Chair; Raj Chawla; Max
	Levy.
8	ADMINISTRATION: Greg Duggan, Deputy Manager.
9	OTHERS PRESENT: Erin Fagnant, KSV; Bridget Meyer; Margaret Smith; Irene Wrenner;
10	Tucker Wright, KSV.
11	1 CALL TO ODDED
12	1. CALL TO ORDER
13	M T 1 11 14 2 4 1 4 7 01
14	Mr. Tyler called the meeting to order at 7:01 p.m.
15	
16	2. AGENDA ADDITIONS/CHANGES
17	
18	MAX LEVY MOVED AND RAJ CHAWLA SECONDED A MOTION TO ADD THE
19	FOLLOWING ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA:
20	
21	1. A Brief Summary of the Essex Community Political Structure and the Challenges it
22	Poses for Consolidation
23	2. Essex Junction Trustees/Essex Town Selectboard Joint Governance Subcommittee
24	Report 4/9/19.
25	
26	THE MOTION PASSED 3-0.
27	
28	4. PUBLIC TO BE HEARD
29	
30	There were no comments from the public.
31	
32	5. BUSINESS ITEMS
33	
34	a. Planning for Public Engagement around Governance Change
35	
36	Ms. Erin Fagnant and Mr. Tucker Wright from KSV were present to begin developing a public
37	engagement plan to prepare for a governance change vote in November 2020. Both Ms. Fagnant
38	and Mr. Wright were familiar with the history in Essex with regard to merger efforts in the past.
39	KSV plans to offer surveys and focus groups, with the Governance Subcommittee (GS) acting as
40	a steering committee. Members discussed the desired extent of surveys and focus groups, as well
41	as timelines and process. Ms. Fagnant and Mr. Wright explained KSV's recommendation to
42	begin the process with a qualitative (80% ideal approval vote for 2020) public survey to help
43	identify the knowledge base of residents about governance, any challenges and any biases. Those
44	findings and findings from previous surveys would then be used as a facilitation guide for the
45	focus groups. Focus group discussions will be recorded on video and transcribed. The last step to
46	the process is a quantitative public survey that would identify and confirm the right options and

further feedback for the governance change vote in November 2020. Mr. Tyler felt the proposed 47 48 process from KSV embodied the intent of GS recent discussions. Members briefly discussed the importance of educating the public before and throughout the process to ensure a good 49 understanding of the issue. Ms. Ann Janda was hired as a point person for the governance change 50 vote, and members discussed the possibility of her creating a website and other online resources 51 to objectively describe the governance structure today, efforts for merger in the past and what is 52 being proposed for the future and why. The members would like to meet with Ms. Janda and Mr. 53 54 Teich to discuss the extent to which she could complete these tasks. Mr. Wright confirmed for Mr. Tyler that the length of time for the initial survey, focus groups and final survey was from 55 eight to 16 weeks, which would mean completion in the fall. Mr. Chawla was pleased with this 56 timing because the GS could then determine if it is going in the right direction. Mr. Wright and 57 Ms. Fagnant confirmed for the members that they would provide a very detailed outline of the 58 project, the phases and the feedback along the way. The ultimate goal for this effort is to warn a 59 presentation to the public at Town Meeting in March. 60

61 62

63

64

65 66

67

With regard to frequency of meetings with KSV, it was decided that if there is information for the members to review, email will be used to distribute materials, but not for discussions. If there are any substantial discussions or decisions to be made, they should meet. Mr. Wright explained that sometimes they will need to meet weekly and other times meetings can be further in between. Initially, Ms. Fagnant explained that they will draft a project plan in the next week or two and should have a meeting at that point. Members will wait to hear from KSV and then warn the meeting.

68 69 70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77 78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90 91

92

With regard to the scope of the project, members agreed with the recommended process of an initial survey, focus groups and a final survey. With regard to focus groups, Mr. Tyler and Mr. Chawla agreed that it may be difficult to have the business community as a focus group and that residents and voters should be the target for those groups. Mr. Levy commented that the impact on businesses needs to be considered, but the focus groups and survey should include the voters. Mr. Tyler agreed that the opinion from the business community is important, but could be realized through the Economic Development Commission, and members agreed. One question that needed to be answered regarding focus groups, was how they will be structured. Mr. Wright explained that there are pros and cons to special interest focus groups versus mixed focus groups. When there is a consolidated group, then the community would know the opinion of that group. On the other hand, a specific subset group can create a "group think" mentality versus individual opinions. The number of focus groups also plays into how they could be structured to suit the needs of Essex. The analyst from KSV, Mr. Dave Treston, who could not be at the meeting tonight, could weigh in more on this issue at the next meeting. Mr. Tyler commented that the tax structure in the community is such that there will be a difference in burden in order to reach tax equity. He referred to the Agenda Addition that explains the political structure and the challenges it poses for consolidation. Mr. Chawla wanted to make sure everyone felt comfortable expressing their opinions during the focus group discussions. Mr. Tyler clarified for Ms. Bridget Meyer that the survey and focus groups will help determine the basic structure of governance that will be presented to the public at Town Meeting and proposed to the voters in 2020. Mr. Tucker explained that the participation of residents in the process should be statistically significant to the total population. Mr. Duggan commented that statistical significance is surprisingly low for phase 1 (approximately 375 residents for 95% confidence).

93 94

95

96

97

With regard to the number of focus groups, Tier 2 of KSV's proposal recommends six focus groups comprised of eight to 10 members. With regard to the structure of the focus groups, Mr. Chawla suggested two from inside the Village, two from outside the Village and two mixed, and members agreed. If there needs to be a shift at any point during the effort, KSV will inform the GS.

98 99 100

101

102

103

104

It was confirmed that KSV will draft a scope and schedule, and will work with the staff and GS to schedule an initial kick-off working meeting in one to two weeks to collaborate on questions for the survey and a proposal to bring to the Selectboard and Trustees. It was confirmed for Mr. Levy that the final reading of the survey would occur in the fall based on the KSV's Tier 2 proposal. It was confirmed for Mr. Chawla that the data video will be owned by the Town and Village.

105106107

108

109

110

Ms. Irene Wrenner felt equal representation from inside and outside the Village was missing in the proposal and should be acknowledged in this process. Mr. Tyler felt that the process will uncover identity issues inside and outside the Village. Mr. Tyler reviewed the Agenda Additions with Mr. Wright and Ms. Fagnant, which included the current governance structure. Contact information was exchanged, and members and staff will wait to hear from KSV.

111112113

b. Discuss Communications Outreach Strategy

114115

The issue is for the GS to discuss a communications outreach strategy.

116117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

Mr. Tyler suggested a weekly column in the Essex Reporter written by different people from the community highlighting information to the public about this issue. Mr. Levy suggested addressing questions from the public as well. Members agreed with the first two columns being written by staff to share the background and history of the governance structure and how it is today. Mr. Chawla added that people should be encouraged to send questions, and he suggested discussing a plan to moderate a Facebook page, which could also publish facts and answer questions, without the ability for debate. Members discussed using Front Porch Forum to communicate information with a link to the Essex Reporter. Members discussed creative ideas such as inviting well-known people in the community to provide information on the topic and to use a graphic that represents both communities as a way to catch people's attention. Ms. Meyer requested there be a quick link to past articles available to the public. Mr. Duggan explained that Ms. Janda will act as an organizer for outreach. Mr. Tyler expressed interest in an independent site for this issue that could be found on both websites. Members agreed that the online outreach needed to be monitored to avoid any political arguments. Ms. Meyer wanted a one click source of information on this issue and stated that it was important for all the questions to get answered. Members agreed that Mr. Teich and Ms. Janda could answer the technical questions, and then if questions get political, those questions could be referred to the Selectboard (SB) and Trustees. Ms. Wrenner was concerned with having a balanced perspective and checks and balances when questions get political. She would like to avoid what happened with the recreation consolidation effort. Members discussed the potential challenges with social media and decided to discuss this further with Mr. Teich and Ms. Janda. Ms. Meyer was not for or against using social media, but knew that there was a large population that used that form of communication. She stated that a

139	savvy person needs to manage it. She also noted that there are many different people who use
140	different forms of communication and suggested sending information via water or tax bills. Mr.
141	Levy wanted to check with the lawyer first before entertaining that idea.
142	
143	Mr. Levy noted that it was important to go where the people are, like what the SB does during
144	outreach for Town Meeting and the Town budget. With regard to public forums and meetings
145	with local groups and organizations, members agreed those would occur later in the effort.
146	Members also decided to keep the June 20 subcommittee meeting unless they hear from KSV
147	sooner. Mr. Duggan will remind KSV that 48 hours is needed to warn a meeting.
148	
149	c. Approval of Minutes: May 23, 2019
150	
151	MAX LEVY made a motion, and RAJ CHAWLA seconded, to approve the minutes of May
152	23, 2019, as presented. The motion passed 3-0.
153	
154	6. READING FILE
155	
156	7. ADJOURN
157	
158	RAJ CHAWLA made a motion, and MAX LEVY seconded, to adjourn. The motion passed
159	3-0 at 8:31 p.m.
160	
161	
162	Respectfully Submitted,
163	1 v /
164	Saramichelle Stultz