VILLAGE OF ESSEX JUNCTION/TOWN OF ESSEX SELECTBOARD SUBCOMMITTEE ON GOVERNANCE **SPECIAL MEETING** MAY 23, 2019 Governance Subcommittee Members: George Tyler, Chair; Max Levy; Raj Chawla, Andy **ADMINISTRATION:** Evan Teich, Unified Manager; Greg Duggan, Deputy Manager. **OTHERS PRESENT:** Barbara Higgins, Margaret Smith, Irene Wrenner. 1. CALL TO ORDER Mr. Tyler called the meeting to order at 7:03 p.m.

2. AGENDA ADDITIONS/CHANGES

There were no changes to the Agenda. Members agreed that discussion should occur when they reach the items in the Reading File.

4. PUBLIC TO BE HEARD

 Ms. Barbara Higgins, who is a member of the Economic Development Commission (EDC) but was speaking as a resident, encouraged the Governance Subcommittee (GS) to discuss the impact of consolidation decisions and their positive and negative impacts on economic development from a business and housing perspective. Mr. Tyler appreciated this comment and asked for more specifics. One example Ms. Higgins gave was that, from a property tax perspective, any increases would have an impact on businesses, and she was concerned how that might have an effect on attracting new businesses to Essex. Mr. Tyler agreed with Ms. Higgins that this topic needed to be discussed. Mr. Levy suggested that Ms. Higgins ask the EDC to gather information about how taxes affect businesses today and how it would look for businesses in a consolidated community, and Ms. Higgins agreed.

5. BUSINESS ITEMS

a. Review of potential focus group providers (staff)

Mr. Duggan introduced the issue of informing the GS about projected costs and availability of market research professionals to organize focus groups around governance proposals. In speaking to market research professionals, staff believes there should be six to 10 focus groups with six to 10 people per group. Mr. Duggan's memorandum, dated May 23, 2019, includes a list of firms that are interested in conducting focus groups, surveys or both. With regard to the process, one option could be a larger, kick-off focus group to gather input and shape the questions for the smaller focus groups. The focus groups could include target demographics as well as any other residents who wish to participate and are available at different times. Staff and elected officials would find participants, provide meeting space, provide incentives and background material about the governance change project. Market research professionals also

suggested that a community-wide survey, conducted prior to the focus groups, could provide feedback that focus groups could explore in more depth.

Mr. Duggan explained that if members hire a market research professional, preliminary costs would range from \$1,500 to \$3,000 per focus group, plus an additional cost for a report. With an incentive cost of \$50 per person, the total cost is estimated at a low of \$10,800 for six groups of six people to \$35,000 for 10 groups of 10 people. Combined with one or two surveys, the entire public engagement effort is likely to cost \$25,000 to \$50,000, depending on the extent of the work. Mr. Duggan added that an alternate route could be to use staff or volunteers to conduct focus groups and to create a survey in-house or to hire an intern at a low cost. This would lower the cost, but would take the process out of the hands of a third-party and potentially lose the perception of impartiality.

Members discussed the direction they would like to take on this issue. Mr. Tyler thought their past discussions leaned towards having focus groups first because they might identify issues that need to be included in the survey. Mr. Levy felt it was important to hone in on important issues raised by the community first, and Mr. Chawla agreed. Mr. Levy was in favor of hiring a research professional and wondered if they would be the ones to recommend a process to follow. Mr. Tyler agreed that a third party keeps the project objective. Mr. Chawla added that he felt the project was too much work for the staff to take on at this time. Members discussed how to get the "pulse" of the community through a survey or two. Mr. Watts felt the results of the meeting on March 24, 2018 and the 2017 survey conducted by the Trustees should be considered during this process. He noted that there were overwhelmingly positive responses on the survey, but negative written comments. Mr. Chawla thought that a professional could correlate the results so the information could be more accurate and useful. The members agreed to hire a marketing research professional to conduct both the survey and focus groups.

 Members discussed a budget of no more than \$40,000 for this project, and after some deliberation, decided to present this update and recommendation to the Trustees and Selectboard (SB) on the joint meeting Tuesday, May 28th for their authorization. The Unified Manager has the authority to spend up to \$40,000 without requiring a lengthy bid process. It was also decided to make a decision of which professional to hire, based on the staff's recommendation, at the next GS meeting on June 3.

Ms. Higgins urged the GS to educate the public about any joint proposals before sending out the survey in order for the survey to be of any value. Mr. Tyler stated that topics for questions have been identified, such as the Council/Manager form of government, whether to have voting districts or not, whether to have a phase-in for any tax increases and feelings about identity. Mr. Chawla noted that there is still a lot of confusion going on about understanding the current status quo. Mr. Teich stated that if the public answers that it does not understand the question, then there is more work to do. Mr. Chawla suggested an ongoing website tied into the survey for answering questions. He suggested using Facebook, Front Porch Forum and letters to the editor to get out the information. Ms. Higgins agreed that was important, however she pointed out that, in the end, people will make a decision based on their pocketbook. Mr. Tyler thought those questions could be presented to the focus groups, such as, Do you support merger? Do you support merger if your taxes will be increased by a certain number and what increase would be

palatable? Mr. Chawla wanted to know the value on that money for residents and what it means to them. Mr. Teich added the question, What is important to you as one community and what are you willing to pay for that? Mr. Teich also recommended asking these questions to the many volunteers in the community, and members agreed.

Mr. Levy was in favor of in-kind incentives for focus group participants, such as Indian Brook passes or a recreation program, and members agreed. Members also agreed to hold the focus groups at comfortable locations with coffee and pastries.

b. Identify people, groups, and priority questions for focus groups

The GS talked about people and groups to include in focus groups about governance change, and to identify priority questions for those focus groups. In the memorandum dated May 23, 2019 from Greg Duggan to the GS and the Unified Manager, Mr. Duggan provide a potential list of people and groups. Members discussed the list and added the following:

Business owners, realtors, developers, leaders in the community, such as coaches, Rotary members, PTO officers and neighborhood watch leaders. Mr. Duggan confirmed that once identified, a direct invite will get sent with the hope of getting people involved. Members agreed to include business owners who do not reside in Essex as a group to invite. Mr. Chawla would like to see as many different voices as possible included.

c. Discussion of process and questions for community survey

 The GS discussed a community survey around governance change. Mr. Watts wondered about including questions on representation, program/asset access and higher taxes to limit access. Mr. Teich recommended letting the research professional decide on those questions. He thought the focus groups could answer questions, such as, Do you understand why merger and What questions would you like answered before you would say yes to a merger? Mr. Levy stated that the reason for a merged community needs to be really clear and people need to know how things will be better and how it will impact their lives other than efficiencies and even if there is no tax impact. Mr. Chawla wanted to identify the value people see, before addressing taxes. He wants to know how people define their community and whether they see it as an investment for a better future. Mr. Tyler wanted to get the pulse of the community and what the first thing is that comes to their mind with regard to this issue. Members discussed how the vote on this issue would be different than in 2006 because it would take place at the same time as the presidential election, so there would be a good turnout. Any questions members want to include for focus groups and the survey should be emailed to staff. Staff will begin work on the "why" for the governance project for further discussion with the members in the future.

d. Approval of Minutes: May 13, 2019

MAX LEVY MOVED AND ANDREW WATTS SECONDED A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF MAY 13, 2019 AS PRESENTED. THE MOTION PASSED 4-0.

6. READING FILE

a. Responses from Dan Richardson re: governance questions

139	
140	Members deliberated briefly on the responses from Mr. Dan Richardson, Attorney. Mr. Tyler
141	was surprised that with a charter change, it could take a year or more to get passed through the
142	Legislature. Mr. Watts noted that if the public is interested in representative districts, it is good
143	for people to know that boundaries of districts can change every five years. Mr. Teich was
144	interested in knowing what the public wants for the libraries. The attorney explained that the
145	libraries cannot create themselves or dissolve themselves.

146147

138

b. Differences in water/sewer rates for Village of Essex Junction and Town of Essex

148 149

150

151

152153

Members deliberated briefly on this issue. Mr. Duggan stated that the issue is very complicated. The Town, outside the Village (TOV), has more pumping stations and charges for depreciation, whereas the Village charges for capital. The TOV also has bonds that do not apply to the Town inside the Village (TIV). There can be separate water districts or utilities based on the services that are being provided. Consolidation of public works could change the structure. If merger happens, a single legislative body can act as Water Commission for both districts.

154155156

7. ADJOURN

157

158 Mr. Watts and Mr. Teich are not able to attend the June 6th GS meeting.

159

MAX LEVY MOVED AND RAJ CHAWLA SECONDED A MOTION TO ADJOURN AT
8:32 P.M. THE MOTION PASSED 4-0.