



**CITY OF ESSEX JUNCTION  
CITY COUNCIL  
REGULAR MEETING AGENDA**

Online & 2 Lincoln St.  
Essex Junction, VT 05452  
Wednesday, April 29, 2026  
**6:30 PM**

E-mail: [admin@essexjunction.org](mailto:admin@essexjunction.org)

[www.essexjunction.org](http://www.essexjunction.org)

Phone: (802) 878-6944

This meeting will be in-person and available remotely. Options to watch or join the meeting remotely:

- **WATCH:** the meeting will be live streamed on [Town Meeting TV](#)
- **JOIN ONLINE:** [Join Zoom Meeting](#)
- **JOIN CALLING:** (toll free audio only): (888) 788-0099 | Meeting ID: 944 6429 7825; Passcode: 635787

1. **CALL TO ORDER** [6:30 PM]
2. **AGENDA ADDITIONS/CHANGES**
3. **APPROVE AGENDA**
4. **PUBLIC TO BE HEARD**
  - a. Comments from Public
5. **PUBLIC HEARING**
  - a. Large User Water Rate Setting Public Hearing
6. **RECOGNITION**
  - a. Resolution of Appreciation for Elaine Haney
  - b. Resolution of Appreciation for Marcus Certa
7. **BUSINESS ITEMS**
  - a. Discussion and Consideration of FY27 Enterprise Fund, Water, Wastewater, Sanitation, and Stormwater Rates [5 Minutes]
  - b. Finance Department Head Brief to Council including Capital Review Committee Update [10 Minutes]
  - c. Discussion and Consideration of Rebranding Initiative – City Entry Signs and Rollout Timeline [10 Minutes]
  - d. Discussion and Consideration of the Railroad and Main Streets Kiosk [5 Minutes]
  - e. Discussion of Traffic Calming Policy and Update [5 Minutes]
  - f. Discussion and Consideration of Park Street Complete Street Improvements – Public Engagement Results, Parking Data, and Alternative Selection [20 Minutes]
8. **CONSENT ITEMS**
  - a. Approve Meeting Minutes: 4/15/2026
  - b. Acting as the Liquor Control Commission – Approve Liquor/Tobacco Licenses
  - c. Acting as the Cannabis Control Commission – Approve Tier 1 Cannabis Manufacturer License Renewal – TrichomeVT
  - d. Approve the 2026 Resurfacing Projects Bid Award
  - e. Approve Funding for 5<sup>th</sup> Annual Substance Use Addiction Summit with Opioid Settlement Funds
  - f. Approve VTrans Electric Vehicle Fast Charging – Site Host Opportunity
9. **COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS & CITY MANAGER REPORT**
10. **READING FILE**
  - a. Check Warrant # 24116 4/17/2026
  - b. City of Essex Junction annual Meeting 2026 Election Results
  - c. Chittenden County Regional Plan Second Public Hearing Notice and Information

- d. Bike Walk Advisory Committee Minutes 4/13/26
- e. Development Review Board Minutes 4/16/26
- f. Police Community Advisory Board Minutes 4/21/26
- g. Tree Farm Minutes 4/13/26

11. **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Not Anticipated

12. **ADJOURN**

*Members of the public are encouraged to speak during the Public to Be Heard agenda item, during a Public Hearing, or, when recognized by the President, during consideration of a specific agenda item. The public will not be permitted to participate when a motion is being discussed except when specifically requested by the President. Regarding zoom participants, if individuals interrupt, they will be muted; and if they interrupt a second time, they will be removed. This agenda is available in alternative formats upon request. Meetings of the City Council, like all programs and activities of the City of Essex Junction, are accessible to people with disabilities. For information on accessibility or this agenda, call the City Manager's office at 802-878-6944 TTY: 7-1-1 or (800) 253-0191.*

**Upcoming City Council Meetings  
(Meeting Dates are Subject to Change)  
(\* denotes special meeting or date change)**

<b>May 13, 2026</b>	<b>June 10, 2026</b>
<b>May 27, 2026</b>	<b>June 24, 2026</b>

**City Council Rules for Public Participation  
City of Essex Junction**

Vermont’s Open Meeting Law protects the public’s right to attend and participate in meetings of local public bodies, but the purpose and function of these meetings is for the public body to do the work of the public; they are not meetings of the public (i.e., public forums). Consequently, these rules are necessary to manage the public’s participation to ensure an environment in which the public feels safe to express their views on matters considered by the public body while minimizing disruptions so that the public body can get its work done. The full City Council Rules of Procedures for Meetings can be found here: [www.essexjunction.org/codes/policies](http://www.essexjunction.org/codes/policies).

1. Please raise your hand to speak, whether in person or attending virtually.
2. You may only speak after you have been recognized by the president.
3. Before speaking, please state your name and address for the record.
4. All remarks must be addressed to the president.
5. Comments must be germane to the agenda item being addressed.
6. Comments under “Public to be Heard” must pertain to the business of the public body.
7. Repetitive and irrelevant comments are not allowed.
8. Please wait your turn; do not interrupt others.
9. Each person will be limited to two minutes of comment. This time may be extended only by permission of the president. The balance of time not used by each person will expire and cannot be reserved or yielded to another.
10. Each person may only speak once on the same agenda item, time permitting, with the consent of the president.
11. Those yet to be heard will be given priority over those who have already spoken.
12. You do not have the right to vote on agenda items.
13. Please obey orders and rulings of the president.
14. Keep your cool. Disruptive people will be asked to leave and removed if necessary.
15. Listen well, pay attention, and participate.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56

**CITY OF ESSEX JUNCTION  
CITY COUNCIL  
REGULAR MEETING  
DRAFT MINUTES OF MEETING  
April 29, 2026  
Approved May 13, 2026**

**COUNCILORS PRESENT:** Amber Thibeault, President; Marcus Certa, Vice President; Tim Miller, Clerk; Elaine Haney, Brian Shelden.

**ADMINISTRATION:** Regina Mahony, City Manager; Jess Morris, Finance Director; Ashley Snellenberger, Communications & Strategic Initiatives Director; Chris Yuen, Community Development Director.

**OTHERS PRESENT:** David Achee, Raj Chawla, Bethany Clark, Cora Delucia, Daniel Liguori, Reed Nye, John O'Brien, Resa, Scott.

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

City Council President Thibeault called the meeting to order at 6:30 P.M.

2. **AGENDA ADDITIONS/CHANGES**

None.

3. **APPROVE AGENDA**

None needed.

4. **PUBLIC TO BE HEARD**

a. Comments from Public

Resa spoke about high community interest in the community garden program in Essex Junction and asked whether some community garden plots could be subsidized by the 1% social services fund that was approved on the ballot. City Council President Thibeault noted that there are scholarships available through EJRP, and said that these scholarships could potentially be used for community garden plots. Councilor Certa asked about the timing and availability of funding through the social services fund. City Manager Mahony said that funds will be available at the beginning of Fiscal Year 2027 (in July), and added that the social services fund program will begin after that. Cora Delucia spoke about the Park Street project and expressed support for bicycle lanes on Park Street.

5. **PUBLIC HEARING**

a. Large User Water Rate Setting Public Hearing

Finance Director Morris spoke on this agenda item. She noted that the large volume water user rate is designed for large volume water consumption exceeding 2.5 million gallons per day, which currently only applies to GlobalFoundries. She said that a public hearing is required for larger water user rates, and that rates are set by the Council at or after that public hearing. She provided a brief overview of the FY27 City Water Fund Budget, noting a 3.8% increase from FY26, the primary drivers of which are an increase in personnel benefit expenses, an increase in the administrative fees paid from the Water Fund to the General Fund, and a \$50,000 planned increase in planned contributions to the capital reserve. She said that for the larger volume water user rate, there was a decrease between FY26 and FY27 of 7.98%. She said that the rate is calculated as 13% of the City's water operating budget plus a proportionate share of unaccounted water divided by estimated water usage and that there is a reconciliation at the end of the fiscal year, at which time GlobalFoundries either pays more or receives a credit depending on water usage and the amount of unaccounted water. Councilor Haney asked how the 13% threshold of the water operating budget was arrived at, and Director Morris replied that the policy has been at 13% for a number of years. Councilor Certa asked why the large water user rate is decreasing while residential and other rates are increasing, and Director Morris replied that all rates are based on usage. She noted that GlobalFoundries is paying the wholesale rate and state fees directly, and that the City had projected a larger amount in the state fee than the fee ended up being, which is why their rate is decreasing through this reconciliation process. City Manager Mahony noted that the two rates (residential and large water user) are calculated using different methodologies and cannot be compared.

57  
58 City Council President Thibeault closed the public hearing.

59  
60 **6. RECOGNITION**

61 a. Resolution of Appreciation for Elaine Haney

62 Councilor Shelden read a resolution of appreciation for Elaine Haney into the record. Councilor Haney said  
63 that it has been an honor to work with her fellow Councilors, Trustees, City staff, and constituents, and she  
64 expressed thanks for the recognition.

65  
66 b. Resolution of Appreciation for Marcus Certa

67 Councilor Miller read a resolution of appreciation for Marcus Certa into the record. Councilor Certa expressed  
68 thanks for the recognition and appreciation.

69  
70 **7. BUSINESS ITEMS**

71 a. Discussion and Consideration of FY27 Enterprise Fund, Water, Wastewater, Sanitation, and Stormwater  
72 Rates

73 Director Morris spoke on this agenda item. She said that the combined costs of the water, wastewater, and  
74 sanitation to a City resident using the average of 120 gallons per day will increase by around 7.5% or  
75 approximately \$62 per year. She said that the larger user rate will decrease by approximately 8%, the  
76 wastewater treatment wholesale rate will decrease by 0.3%, and the stormwater rate will increase by \$34.59  
77 per ERU. She said that the stormwater rate increase is largely due to the addition of the capital reserve  
78 contribution for the Stormwater Fund. She said that these rates are based on the budgets that were approved  
79 at the end of March.

80  
81 **Councilor Miller made a motion, seconded by Councilor Haney, that the City Council approve the**  
82 **FY27 utility rates for water, wastewater, sanitation, and stormwater as presented. The motion passed**  
83 **4-1 (Councilor Certa dissenting).**

84  
85 b. Finance Department Head Brief to Council Including Capital Review Committee Update

86 Director Morris spoke on this agenda item. She summarized highlights from the last year, noting successful  
87 audits and monitoring visits from the State of Vermont and continued work around process improvement for  
88 grants. She noted that the City hired a new accounts receivable coordinator, who has been providing support  
89 for utility billing and is being cross-trained on payroll activities. She spoke about new rules and regulations  
90 from the federal government and staff's work to learn about them and understand their impacts. She spoke  
91 about successfully having enterprise budget projections ready for review and consideration along with the  
92 General Fund budget, which has been a goal for the past several years. She also spoke about how the  
93 Vermont Governance Finance Officers Association Board hosted the New England fall conference at Jay  
94 Peak last year, which was well-attended and a great networking and learning opportunity. She then spoke  
95 about the Capital Committee's activities over the last year, noting that they switched to holding quarterly  
96 meetings and that they have worked to re-rank existing projects and obtain new cost estimates for them. She  
97 also noted that they ranked a new project this year, which was the Main Street Shared Use Path. She said  
98 that these activities were conducted in time to pass recommendations on to staff and the Council for Capital  
99 Budget planning processes for FY27. She spoke about activities for the Finance Department for the next  
100 year, which include cross-training, policy updates, rolling out the next phase of utilizing the new budgeting  
101 software, inventorying the City's fixed assets in preparation for the year-end audit, updating the City's key  
102 control document. She then spoke about activities for the Capital Committee for the next year, which include  
103 reviewing and adjusting existing projects on the ranked list, discussing sidewalk policy updates and how any  
104 sidewalk work interacts with the Local Option Tax (LOT) funds designated for sidewalk improvement.

105  
106 Councilor Certa asked for examples of ideas that arose from the conference that Director Morris mentioned,  
107 and Director Morris spoke about an interesting presentation on cybersecurity, fraud, and scammers, at which  
108 federal and state officials spoke about scamming activities and best practices for municipalities to protect  
109 themselves. Councilor Miller asked how the Finance Department handled the changes in federal tax law that  
110 excluded certain overtime from being taxable. Director Morris replied that it was somewhat difficult to  
111 implement in real-time, but that the department worked to understand legal requirements, best practices from

112 other municipalities across New England, and implement manual workarounds to ensure alignment with  
 113 requirements. Councilor Shelden asked if the Finance Department is prepared if the City moves its annual  
 114 election to Town Meeting Day and she reported that the department is definitely prepared for this.  
 115

116 c. Discussion and Consideration of Rebranding Initiative – City Entry Signs and Rollout Timeline  
 117 Communications & Strategic Initiatives Director Snellenberger spoke on this item. She said that they include  
 118 designs for entrance signs and municipal signs as part of the City’s rebranding effort. She noted that the  
 119 Rebranding Committee is recommending that the City move forward with Concept A, which they felt was the  
 120 most readable sign, has a prominent display of the logo, and ties into some of the City’s other approved  
 121 branded items, such as the banner. She noted that this sign would be displayed outside of the City’s municipal  
 122 offices, Brownell Library, and on any other signs within the City. She reviewed the Concept B, Concept C,  
 123 Concept D, and Concept E options as well. She also spoke about the organizational panels that would go on  
 124 the signage, noting that the Rebranding Committee is recommending leaving the panel off of the signage for  
 125 now. She also spoke briefly about logo design for uniforms, distinguishing between staff uniforms and  
 126 potential merchandise for the public, and logos for fleet vehicles. She said that the Rebranding Committee  
 127 has also been reviewing templates and that she has asked department heads to also review the templates.  
 128 She said that they anticipate having another package to review by May 22, and to roll the revised designs  
 129 out by June 1.  
 130

131 Councilor Certa agreed that the organizational panels should not be included in signage and further  
 132 expressed support for Concept A. Councilor Shelden asked whether the organizations featured on the  
 133 organizational panels had paid for those panels to be on municipal signage. Councilor Miller pointed out that  
 134 they could add the organizational panels back into Concept A signage, but that many of the panels are in  
 135 disrepair and would need to be replaced anyway. Director Snellenberger noted that if they wanted to add the  
 136 organizational panels back into signage, they would need to re-pole the signage to account for the additional  
 137 height of the signs. Councilor Miller asked for confirmation that the entry signs were included in the original  
 138 rebranding budget but the municipal sign is not included in that allocation, and Director Snellenberger  
 139 confirmed that there is funding available for the entrance signs and the banners, but the municipal signs do  
 140 not currently have a funding source.  
 141

142 The following public comments were received:

- 143 • Resa asked if the signs will be high enough off the ground to withstand large storms and weather  
 144 events. Councilor Miller said that he was estimating height based on renderings and that the signs  
 145 will likely be higher off the ground than that. Director Snellenberger added that she will work with  
 146 Public Works and the sign company to determine the best height for the signs.  
 147

148 **Councilor Certa made a motion, seconded by Councilor Miller, that the City Council approve Option**  
 149 **A for the City Entrance sign design. The motion passed 5-0.**  
 150

151 d. Discussion and Consideration of the Railroad and Main Streets Kiosk  
 152 City Manager Mahony noted that this pertains to a current sign at the intersection of Railroad Street and Main  
 153 Street that is in disrepair. She said that one side of the sign was paid for through Chittenden County Regional  
 154 Planning Commission (CCRPC) with federal dollars that require a set period of time that the sign cannot be  
 155 significantly modified. She said that they can update the sign but they need to retain the concept of this being  
 156 a wayfinding sign as part of the larger Lake Champlain scenic byway. She said that staff have worked with  
 157 CCRPC to have the panels redesigned and updated. She said the Council needs to decide what should be  
 158 done with the top of the sign, given that it currently contains non-functioning clocks. She said one option is  
 159 to replace the clocks with functioning, low-maintenance clocks, a second option still utilizing the clock concept  
 160 but with different colors for the lettering, a third option with just the City’s new logo, and a fourth option that  
 161 removes the clock and logo altogether. She said that they will likely need to use the Economic Development  
 162 Fund to pay for the costs of whatever option the Council chooses. Councilors Haney and Shelden expressed  
 163 support for option 3.  
 164

**Councilor Sheldon made a motion, seconded by Councilor Miller, that the City Council authorize the use of the Economic Development Fund in an amount not to exceed \$7,000, for the Kiosk Replacement Project. The motion passed 5-0.**

e. Discussion of Traffic Calming Policy and Update

Community Development Director Yuen spoke about this agenda item. He began by noting that the City Council adopted an updated Traffic Calming Policy at its December 17, 2025 meeting, and that this policy changes the process through which residents and stakeholders can request roadway modifications to address speed and safety concerns. He said that staff compiled previous speed concerns and complaints that have been received and conducted a desktop analysis to get a preliminary sense of what the priorities look like and how the scoring system is working. He noted that the Traffic Calming Policy also contains thresholds that need to be exceeded in order for further engagement and design work to continue. He pointed out on the map of Essex Junction where the highest priority areas are and noted areas that didn't meet the thresholds. He noted that Park Street has two locations that are the highest priority based on the scoring metrics. He said that this is an informational item for the Council at this juncture, and that staff will conduct public engagements and hold required public meetings for some of the higher-priority locations, and will take next steps within available resources.

Councilor Certa noted that there are five high priority areas and asked if the City has resources to conduct projects for all five of them now. Director Yuen replied that the policy spells out a process of how to obtain final priority scores, which will further help prioritize among those five areas. He said that public engagement and design considerations are part of this process, which will inform prioritization. Councilor Sheldon asked if parking on the street (and the narrowing that does to the street) is contributing to the ranking of areas on Grove Street as high priority. Director Yuen said he doesn't have specific details to share but noted that there are a number of land use factors that contribute to whether an area hits the threshold.

The following public comments were received:

- John O'Brien noted that this is data from three previous years and none of it was recently submitted. He said that in order to move forward, it would be good to solicit more current feedback from the public.

Councilor Certa asked if any of this preliminary data could be acted on, and Director Yuen replied that he thinks a good next step is to take some of these areas to a public engagement session and solicit feedback. City Manager Mahony noted that staff would reach out to the residents in these areas and invite them to a public meeting, as well as place signage in the greenway noting that there is a meeting scheduled to discuss traffic calming in those areas. Councilor Sheldon observed that most of the complaints in his area of the City are related to high school traffic, and that measurements taken in the summer would be far different than those taken when school is in session. Director Yuen said that future traffic and speed studies would take seasonality into account.

f. Discussion and Consideration of Park Street Complete Street Improvements – Public Engagement Results, Parking Data, and Alternative Selection

Director Yuen spoke about this agenda item. He noted that a significant amount of work has been completed with the design and outreach about Park Street's proposed changes. He noted that Park Street is a critical gap in the bike network on the north-south bicycle route between Tree Farm Soccer Complex, Five Corners, and Taft Corners in Williston. He said that changes to Park Street and this type of project have been called for in the Town/Village Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan of 2014 and the Connect the Junction Transit-Oriented Development Master Plan of 2025. He noted that Park Street currently has significant safety issues, such as wide lanes that encourage speeding, high observed speeds, drivers crossing the centerline to pass bikes, and parked cars impeding driveway sightlines. He provided a review of where on-street parking spaces are currently located on Park Street by zone (Zones A-D). He summarized the reconfiguration alternatives, which include #0 (do nothing and maintain existing conditions), #1 (buffered bike lanes, and #2 (bike lanes with parking on one side). He said staff believe that Alternatives #1 and #2 would both improve safety, through narrower lanes, dedicated space for each user, managing conflict between moving traffic and parked cars, and improving driveway visibility. He summarized the expected benefits and tradeoffs of each alternative. He

220 noted that Alternative #1 would result in 23 fewer on-street parking spaces than there are now, and  
221 Alternative #2 would result in 15 fewer on-street spaces. He said that in terms of cost, the alternatives would  
222 involve pavement marking only, with no utility or curb work involved, and would be coordinated with annual  
223 restriping for efficiency. He spoke about public engagement, noting that in March and April the City published  
224 content on social media and its website, published a press release, conducted mail and in-person outreach  
225 to adjacent business and property owners, and conducted a public survey. He provided further detail on the  
226 public survey responses. He noted that most respondents drive on Park Street rather than bike or walk, that  
227 speed is the top safety concern, followed by close passes between cars and bicycles, that respondents rarely  
228 use on-street parking, that removal of on-street parking would have little or no effect on visits (though 20%  
229 of respondents said that it would make them less likely to visit that area), and that 46% of respondents  
230 expressed a preference for Alternative #1, but that 73% combined chose an alternative that would add bike  
231 lanes. He spoke about qualitative survey feedback, particularly noting concerns raised by the community.  
232 These include the fact that bike lanes won't get enough use (due to long winters), the design leaving out  
233 people who can't bike, concerns that narrowing lanes would make traffic worse, and concerns that removing  
234 parking would hurt businesses and customers. He provided a summary of feedback from business owners,  
235 noting that they were mailed or hand-delivered information packets and that a number of business owners  
236 responded to the survey. He noted that the majority of business owners expressed a preference for  
237 Alternative #0 (no change). He provided further detail of parking counts, including sources and timing. He  
238 spoke about the most recent study conducted in 2026, which were spread over different times of the day,  
239 different days of the week, and covered statistical peak demand times for businesses. He noted that Zone A  
240 has the highest utilization (51%) on average, and that utilization drops in zones further to the south. He said  
241 that Zone A's utilization at peak demand times is around 60%, Zone B's is between 20% and 33%, and that  
242 Zone C and D did not have utilization at peak times. He then noted that the parking study found that the area  
243 has ample off-street parking but that parking isn't shared between businesses, that West Street's on-street  
244 spaces have higher turnover, that on-street parking utilization is relatively high in Zone A, and that parking  
245 at Park Place Tavern and Green Mountain Clippers sometimes exceeds available off-street spaces. He noted  
246 that there is ample off-street parking for most times, though acknowledged that on-street parking is a point  
247 of individual preference.

248  
249 Councilor Miller asked about the feasibility of designating parking spaces in Zone A in front of 27 Park Street  
250 as limited time parking spaces, given that those spots have low turnover during business hours. Director  
251 Yuen said that that would require an ordinance change, and that if there is a strong desire by the Council to  
252 do this, it could be noted as a condition of final approval, but that it has not been contemplated in this project  
253 to date. Councilor Sheldon asked whether the City has the ability to enforce one-hour parking. City Manager  
254 Mahony said that this would fall to the police, that it would take a lot of proactive work, and that the City  
255 doesn't meter its spaces. Councilor Miller noted that in that area, many of the food service delivery trucks  
256 have to park in the street as they are unable to get into parking spaces, and that this is something to consider  
257 when thinking about bike lanes. Councilor Certa noted that in terms of accessibility, handicapped parking is  
258 located at designated spots at associated retailers, and not located on the street. He asked whether retailers  
259 truly see a drop in foot traffic if on-street parking in proximity to them is removed, given that this is a chronic  
260 complaint across municipalities when they are considering removing certain parking spaces. Director Yuen  
261 said that he does not know whether the impact would be noticeable, and said that adding different  
262 transportation modalities also stands to benefit these businesses. Councilor Miller noted that this past winter,  
263 many of these parking spaces were covered in snowbanks, and expressed concern about snowbank impacts  
264 on a narrower road with a bike lane. Director Yuen said that the roadway still should be wide enough as  
265 proposed to handle some form of snow encroachment.

266  
267 The following public comments were received:

- 268 • David Achee of the Bike Walk Advisory Committee expressed support for Alternative #1, saying that  
269 it received a significant amount of support at the Committee's last meeting. He spoke about how  
270 dangerous Park Street currently is to bicyclists.
- 271 • John O'Brien spoke about the public engagement data that the City collected, much of which was  
272 supportive of this project, saying that it will reduce speeds, not increase traffic, and provide a safe  
273 corridor to connect to Williston. He expressed support for Alternative #1.

274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319

- Raj Chawla expressed support for Alternative #1. He noted general support for Alternatives #1 and #2. He said that proximate business on-street parking on the City’s main thoroughfare is likely going to become less feasible in the future, given the development that the City is likely to see over the next decade.
- David Liguori of the Bike Walk Advisory Committee expressed support for Alternative #1 as the safest option. He noted that having a buffer will signal to drivers to cede that space to cyclists, and noted that a four-foot buffer is the legal passing distance in Vermont that a car should take when passing a cyclist.

**Councilor Certa made a motion, seconded by Councilor Sheldon, that the City Council select Alternative #1 for the Park Street Complete Street Improvement Project, and direct staff to proceed with final design and implementation. The motion passed 5-0.**

8. **CONSENT ITEMS**

- a. Approve Meeting Minutes – April 15, 2026
- b. Acting as the Liquor Control Commission – Approve Liquor/Tobacco Licenses
- c. Acting as the Cannabis Control Commission – Approve Tier 1 Cannabis Manufacturer License Renewal – TrichomeVT
- d. Approve the 2026 Resurfacing Projects Bid Award
- e. Approve Funding for 5<sup>th</sup> Annual Substance Use Addiction Summit with Opioid Settlement Funds
- f. Approve VTrans Electric Vehicle Fast Charging – Site Host Opportunity

**Councilor Haney made a motion, seconded by Councilor Certa, to approve the consent agenda. The motion passed 5-0.**

9. **COUNCILOR COMMENTS & CITY MANAGER REPORT:** None.

10. **READING FILE**

- a. Check Warrant #24116 – April 17, 2026
- b. City of Essex Junction Annual Meeting 2026 Election Results
- c. Chittenden County Regional Plan Second Public Hearing Notice and Information
- d. Bike Walk Advisory Committee Minutes – April 13, 2026
- e. Development Review Board Minutes – April 16, 2026
- f. Police Community Advisory Board Minutes – April 21, 2026
- g. Tree Farm Minutes – April 13, 2026

11. **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

- a. None anticipated

12. **ADJOURN**

**Councilor Haney made a motion, seconded by Councilor Certa to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed 5-0 at 8:34 P.M.**

Respectfully Submitted,  
Amy Coonradt