

VILLAGE OF ESSEX JUNCTION TRUSTEES TOWN OF ESSEX SELECTBOARD SPECIAL MEETING AGENDA

81 Main Street Essex Junction, VT 05452 Tuesday, April 9, 2019

7:00 PM

E-mail: manager@essex.org

<u>www.essexjunction.org</u> <u>www.essex.org</u>

Phone: (802) 878-1341

The Selectboard and Trustees meet together to discuss and act on joint business. Each board votes separately on action items.

1. <u>CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO FLAG</u>

[7:00 PM]

2. AGENDA ADDITIONS/CHANGES

3. APPROVE AGENDA

4. PUBLIC TO BE HEARD

a. Comments from Public on Items Not on Agenda

5. **BUSINESS ITEMS**

- a. Discussion about unified website—Greg Duggan and Rob Paluba
- b. Approve schedule for future joint board meetings—Greg Duggan
- c. Discussion and potential selection of preferred Governance options—George Tyler
- d. Approve RFP for public engagement facilitator for November 2020 vote on governance change—Greg Duggan
- e. Discussion and potential action on continuation of Governance Subcommittee—George Tyler
- f. Approve date and prepare for joint board strategic work session—Greg Duggan

6. **CONSENT ITEMS**

- Accept report entitled "Assessment of Critical Non-Compliant Sidewalks, Paths and Crossings" Dennis Lutz
- b. Adopt Safety Committee Policy—Greg Duggan
- c. Approval of minutes: February 6, 2019 (Trustees only, unless changes proposed)

7. READING FILE

- a. Board Member Comments
- b. Memo from Dennis Lutz re: Chittenden Regional Planning Commission (CCRPC) Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) for FYE2020

8. **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

a. An executive session is not anticipated

ADJOURN

Members of the public are encouraged to speak during the Public to Be Heard agenda item, during a Public Hearing, or, when recognized by the Chair or President, during consideration of a specific agenda item. The public will not be permitted to participate when a motion is being discussed except when specifically requested by the Chair or President. This agenda is available in alternative formats upon request. Meetings, like all programs and activities of the Village of Essex Junction and the Town of Essex, are accessible to people with disabilities. For information on accessibility or this agenda, call the Unified Manager's office at 878-1341.

Certification:	04/05/2019	Metchell

VILLAGE OF ESSEX JUNCTION TRUSTEES TOWN OF ESSEX SELECTBOARD FINAL SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES Monday, April 9, 2019

SELECTBOARD: Elaine Haney, Chair; Max Levy; Andrew Watts; Patrick Murray; Annie Cooper

TRUSTEES: George Tyler, Village President; Dan Kerin; Andrew Brown; Lori Houghton

ADMINISTRATION: Evan Teich, Unified Manager; Greg Duggan, Deputy Manager; Sarah Macy, Finance Director/ Assistant Manager; Rob Paluba, IT Director

OTHERS PRESENT: Betzi Bilodeau; Raj Chawla; Diane Clemens; Betsy Dunn; Jerry Fox; Paul Hansen; Don Kent; Brian Shelden; Margaret Smith; Andy Suntup; Linda Suntup; Irene Wrenner

1. CALL TO ORDER/ PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG

Ms. Haney called the special Joint Meeting of the Town of Essex Selectboard and the Village of Essex Junction Trustees to order at 7:00PM. She invited all in attendance to rise and join in the "Pledge of Allegiance".

2. AGENDA ADDITIONS/ CHANGES

Ms. Haney reordered the agenda so 5d, approving an RFP, would take place after 5e. She also noted that consulting attorney, Dan Richardson, would join the meeting for item 5c.

3. AGENDA APPROVAL

MAX LEVY made a motion, and PATRICK MURRAY seconded, that the Selectboard approve the agenda, with changes. The motion passed 5-0.

ANDREW BROWN made a motion, and DAN KERIN seconded, that the Trustees approve the agenda, with changes. The motion passed 3-0 (Lori Houghton not yet in attendance).

4. PUBLIC TO BE HEARD

a. Comments from Public on Items Not on Agenda

Margaret Smith requested that those at the table speak into the microphones, so the public in attendance could hear the discussions.

Betsy Dunn wondered when the boards will have a conversation about Australian Ballot voting vs. Town meetings. Ms. Haney said this conversation will come up as the boards move forward with governance discussions, but probably not at the current meeting.

Irene Wrenner pointed out that people struggled to hear at Town Meeting, due to a problem with the microphones.

5. BUSINESS ITEMS

a. Discussion about unified website

Mr. Duggan and Mr. Paluba introduced progress being made toward a unified website. Mr. Duggan noted the color scheme and template provided by CivicPlus, for review and comment; the compilation of Selectboard member and Trustee comments about the website redesign; a draft survey to collect public comment about website needs; and a list of current websites related to the website redesign conversation.

 Trustee members discussed their concerns about the unified website, thinking that they may lose their Village website in this redesign process. Mr. Kerin asked for more information about what it means to share a platform. Mr. Paluba and Mr. Duggan explained the differences between "front end" and "back end" functionality and pointed out that a front-end exact replica of the current Village Website can be embedded in the platform. They described the back-end efficiencies that would result by hosting it on a shared platform. Mr. Paluba said the websites on the new platform would function collectively, include a shared search engine and provide consistency in how IT updates the website. Ms. Cooper suggested that the Village portion of the website not go live right away but be created as a replica of the current site with all of its features.

Ms. Houghton and Mr. Tyler wondered what would happen to the website if the municipalities determine to not unify. Mr. Paluba described a \$150/hour fee associated with extra web design work with CivicPlus. They discussed the probability that the municipalities would still share the IT department, even if there is a vote to not unify. In this case, Mr. Paluba said that they would still benefit from the efficiencies of hosting the websites on a shared platform.

The Trustees agreed to share a platform if the front-end of the Village website looks and functions the same.

b. Approve schedule for future joint board meetings

Mr. Duggan described joint meeting schedule options for 2019-2020 for the Trustees and Selectboard members to consider. Staff suggested that the boards increase the number of Joint meetings, due to the increasing number decisions the municipalities must make together, the amount of similar information they need to be presented with, and the amount of work to be done before a November 2020 vote on governance changes. He described three options, with the third as the staff recommendation:

- Option 1: Status quo meetings (2.5 meetings per month for boards, 4.5 for staff)
- Option 2: Keep existing schedule and have a joint meeting every month (3 meetings per month for boards, 5 for staff)
- Option 3: Same Selectboard and Trustee schedule, with two of the meetings including joint business for both boards (3 meetings per month for boards, 4 for staff)

Mr. Watts was concerned that holding a Joint Meeting at every second meeting would not leave enough time for municipality-specific items. He also wondered if going from one joint meeting every other month to two Joint meetings per month is too many, especially if the Governance Subcommittee also meets regularly. Mr. Teich and Mr. Duggan explained that if a scheduled joint meeting seems to not be needed, they could communicate this to the boards. Mr. Levy suggested that they schedule the meetings to get them on their calendars, but if some end up not being needed that is ok, too.

Mr. Kerin cautioned that they schedule based on what is needed at this point and not focus on each individual scheduling conflict. Incoming Trustee Raj Chawla wondered if the Joint meetings could be scheduled by 7pm. The majority of the Selectboard and Trustees stressed that the first joint meeting of the month should be consistent and the second joint meeting should take place only as needed. Trustees and Selectboard members acknowledged that the municipality-specific meetings may run over by a few minutes and an increased number of Consent agenda items may be needed.

MAX LEVY made a motion, and PATRICK MURRAY seconded, that the Selectboard approve Option 3 for the meeting schedule for 2019-2020. The motion passed 4-1, with dissenting vote by Andy Watts.

105 106

ANDREW BROWN made a motion, and DAN KERIN seconded, that the Trustees approve Option 3 for the meeting schedule for 2019-2020.

107 108

Mr. Tyler emphasized to staff that they take care to not overfill the meetings, with this change.

109 110 111

The motion passed 3-0, Lori Houghton abstained.

112

c. Discussion and potential selection of preferred Governance options

113 114

115 116

117

118

119

120

121 122

123

124

125 126

127 128

129 130

Mr. Tyler presented the Governance Subcommittee's recommendation that the municipalities move toward a Unified Charter model. He discussed the two major hurdles for achieving a Unified Charter: establishing a new representation model; and reaching tax equity. Dan Richardson, consulting attorney, stressed that there are ways to handle each issue. He said it is important to be clear with residents that the benefits outweigh the tax changes. Mr. Tyler explained that, under the Unified Charter model, they could either redistribute the electorate based on population or keep representation from the Village and the Town outside the Village to elect two individuals from each region and one "at large" person to represent both. Mr. Tyler said that to reach tax equity, when combining the general funds, Town of Essex residents Outside the Village would assume a 28% increase in taxes. He pointed out that there are multiple options to consider that may ease the shock of this. Mr. Tyler described a second option, which would include a "special districts" model. This would allow the Town Outside the Village and/or the Village to have their own Parks and Rec and Library districts. These special districts would elect and appoint their own boards and their budgets would be separate from the Unified Charter's general fund. Ms. Haney reiterated that administrative, budgetary and department merger decisions; a 5-year timeline; and establishing a special district, when defining the Unified Charter, could all alleviate the tax impact. She also pointed out that redistricting could be designed in a variety of ways. Mr. Tyler pointed out that a decision by the boards on the Unified Charter recommendation will help inform the outreach strategy.

132 133

134

135

136

137 138

139 140

141

142 143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

131

The Trustees and Selectboard members supported the Unified Charter approach and discussed the recommendation with Mr. Richardson. He clarified that proportional representation could be written into the Charter either as a Village and Outside the Village formation or they could form newly developed districts. He said the Trustees and Selectboard could establish new voter districts or a neighborhood ward structure with representation from each district along with one "at large" representative from all the districts. He urged caution that they write specific language about flexibility into the charter so districts and representation can adapt to changing population sizes. Ms. Cooper stated that the Unified Charter could be an opportunity for less confusion for the residents than the current Village and Town Outside the Village design. Mr. Watts and Ms. Houghton suggested carefully discussing the dynamics of a "special tax district" and Mr. Kerin pointed out that there should not be taxation without representation. Mr. Murray wanted to consider new annual meeting options while devising the charter and Ms. Haney explained that this would be informed by the Essex Governance Group report and their suggestions. Mr. Watts encouraged a discussion about if there are ways to decrease the number of services in the municipalities to decrease the tax burden. Mr. Tyler encouraged that all decisions be informed by the public's interests and a dialogue be established.

3

The Trustees and Selectboard members discussed the timeline for approving and rolling out the Unified Charter. They discussed the importance of including transitional provisions and the possibility of an interim district during the Legislature's review of the charter, if the vote passes. Mr. Richardson said that the Selectboard and Trustees would need to decide if they will call a special meeting and consider shortened Selectboard member and Trustee terms, at first. He clarified that until the charter is approved by the Legislature, the old charter continues. Mr. Tyler explained that if the vote on the Unified Charter does not pass, the municipalities will revert to the current MOU structure of governance.

Ms. Haney invited community member comments:

Jerry Fox said that there are urban and rural differences between the Village and Town and he wondered why the recreation costs are different. He also wondered why the Town tax rate would go up if the Village is included under a Unified Charter. Ms. Haney explained that the Village currently has a higher tax rate because, in addition to the taxes they pay as residents of the Town of Essex, Village residents also pay for Village-specific services. By unifying, these services and expenses would be shared by all.

Betzi Bilodeau pointed out that the recommended timeline schedules community outreach to take place in summer months, and that people may be harder to reach during the summer. She also advocated for a governance model that does not include the Village and Outside the Village designations. She encouraged the Selectboard and Trustees consider the amortization or step strategy for the tax equalization.

Betsy Dunn suggested forming Essex voting districts using the State of Vermont's representation model. She said, based on population levels, this would result in seven Essex districts. She also proposed a hybrid town meeting followed by a ballot-election process the next month. She approved of phasing in taxes over five years.

Margaret Smith pointed out that the Village residents are members of the Town but the Town residents are not members of the Village. Mr. Tyler confirmed this is true because the Village pays for Village as well as Town services but Town residents who live outside of the Village only pay for Town services. He also clarified that that Town residents are welcome to use Village parks, library, etc., but sometimes at a different rate because Village residents are already paying higher taxes for these services.

Bridget Meyer expressed that she has many concerns but her main concern is that outreach to the community will be very challenging. She pointed out that communicating the plan to the community in a way that people understand may be a huge problem, considering many do not yet understand the status quo. She wondered if there could be a hybrid community member/ professional group or community advisory group for problem solving, developing strategies and soliciting public input. Ms. Haney validated this concern and said this discussion will continue.

Brian Shelden hoped that the dichotomy of maintaining only two districts be avoided moving forward. He suggested a neutral commission be formed to redraw the lines and consider population shifts, so new districts are formed with single-member representation. He talked about his time in Austin, Texas where representation changed from a five at-large

 representative system to a ten-district representative system, with one at-large. The process was through referendum and an independent committee, with no current or former politician members, redrew the lines. He said this encouraged diverse representation, supportive residents and a governance process that survived the Voting Rights Act.

Irene Wrenner requested information from Mr. Richardson about outreach that Bradford, Vermont conducted. Mr. Richardson talked about an outreach process conducted in Montpelier to illustrate how important it was for residents to first understand the difficulties with the status quo. He said people need to understand the weaknesses of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Essex municipalities. He explained that an MOU is a contractual agreement of how the two municipalities will work together, that either party could walk away from at any time. A charter, however, is legally binding. He suggested that outreach, with municipal and private partnerships including social media, include education on the current dynamics of the status quo, and information about the change.

Ms. Wrenner encouraged people to use the terms Village and Town Outside the Village to clarify which subset of the Town is being discussed. She said that the information collected from the last community outreach campaign in Essex should be considered and used in this process. She agreed with the idea of re-districting for more comprehensive, thorough and diverse representation. She requested consideration be given to choosing an even number of representatives because, in some large corporations, this is proving to build discussion of diverse views.

Raj Chawla referenced outreach conducted previously by Essex, that included a video, to point out that the cost allotted to the Unified Charter outreach may not be enough to conduct a thorough campaign with media creation.

e. Discussion & potential action on continuation of Governance Subcommittee
The Trustees and Selectboard discussed whether they would approve the continuation of the
Governance Subcommittee with two representatives from each body. The boards discussed
the goals of the subcommittee over the next few months, including crafting an agreement with
the outreach contractor, continuing their research and filtering ideas so facts are clear about
unified governance. Mr. Brown encouraged the Subcommittee to hold its first meeting by the
end of April.

Community member Betsy Dunn shared her hope that a resident could join the Subcommittee. Ms. Haney said the Subcommittee's role is best served by board members, but community engagement will take place through outreach efforts.

MAX LEVY made a motion, and PATRICK MURRAY seconded, that the Selectboard continue Governance Subcommittee work. The motion passed 4-1, with dissenting vote by Andy Watts.

DAN KERIN made a motion, and LORI HOUGHTON seconded, that the Trustees continue to provide members to the Governance Subcommittee. The motion passed 4-0.

d. Approve RFP for public engagement facilitator for November 2020 vote on governance change

248

252 253 254

255

256

257

262 263 264

266 267 268

265

269 270 271

272

273 274 275

276

277 278 279

280 281

282 283 284

286 287 288

285

290 291 292

289

293 294

295 296 297

Mr. Duggan presented the proposal that the Selectboard members and Trustees authorize staff to issue a request for proposals (RFP) for a public engagement facilitator, in preparation for a November 2020 vote on governance. Prior to the vote, the contractor would solicit input from thousands of residents to review and shape the governance change options.

Ms. Cooper said the effort should include media talent from the community and bring on board residents as a civic engagement opportunity. Mr. Levy and Ms. Houghton posed questions about how much money it would take to reach thousands of people, suggesting that the amount in the RFP seems far less than enough. Mr. Duggan suggested that it could be revised and posted as a Request for Qualifications that asks applicants to submit a budget, without dictating an amount. The Trustees and Selectboard members suggested revisions to the RFP to ensure the applicants know the number of residents they will be expected to receive input from, understand their role with staff and the Governance Subcommittee, and are clear about the scope of work expected of them.

ANDY WATTS made a motion, and MAX LEVY seconded, that the Selectboard authorize staff to issue a Request for Qualifications for a public engagement facilitator in preparation for a November 2020 vote on governance. The motion passed 5-0.

ANDREW BROWN made a motion, and LORI HOUGHTON seconded, that the Trustees authorize staff to issue a Request for Qualifications for a public engagement facilitator in preparation for a November 2020 vote on governance. The motion passed 4-0.

f. Approve date and prepare for joint board strategic work session—Greg Duggan Mr. Duggan presented a proposal that a strategic work session take place in June, for the boards to focus on organizational management between the interconnected municipalities. Mr. Teich described his hope that at least 10 department heads will be in attendance with a majority of the Selectboard members and Trustees.

Mr. Watts and Mr. Tyler stressed that, if a facilitator is hired, they should be work-focused and there should be a clear product at the end of the event. Mr. Teich explained that the facilitator would also be charged with keeping the agenda on time, because the day will include so many presentations from staff and work sessions.

Staff said that they will send out a doodle poll of options for days in June to see which will work best for the largest number of people.

ANDY WATTS made a motion, and MAX LEVY seconded, that the Selectboard authorize the Unified Manager to hire a facilitator for the strategic work session event. The motion passed 5-0.

DAN KERIN made a motion, and ANDREW BROWN seconded, that the Trustees authorize the Unified Manager to hire a facilitator for the strategic work session event. The motion passed 4-0.

6. CONSENT ITEMS

a. Accept report entitled "Assessment of Critical Non-Compliant Sidewalks, Paths and Crossings"

The Selectboard/Trustees accept the report by the CCRPC and Toole Design Group entitled "Assessment of Critical Non-Compliant Sidewalks, Paths and Crossings"

298 299 300 301 302 303	 and direct staff to utilize the report to develop a five-year municipal plan in the Village and in the Town to address the ADA issues as they impact on sidewalks, paths and crossings. b. Adopt Safety Committee Policy The Selectboard/Trustees adopt the Joint Safety Committee Policy.
304	c. Approval of minutes: February 6, 2019 (Trustees only)
305 306 307	MAX LEVY made a motion, and PATRICK MURRAY seconded, to approve the Consent agenda with comments. The motion passed 5-0.
308 309 310	ANDREW BROWN made a motion, and DAN KERIN seconded, to approve the Consent agenda. The motion passed 4-0.
311	7. READING FILE
312	a. Board Member Comments
313	The Selectboard and Trustees thanked Lori Houghton for her service as this was her final
314	meeting as a Trustee.
315	0. EVECUTIVE OFFICIAL
316 317	8. <u>EXECUTIVE SESSION</u> There was no executive session.
318	There was no executive session.
319 320	9. ADJOURN
321	MAX LEVY made a motion, and PATRICK MURRAY seconded, to adjourn the meeting. The
322	motion passed 5-0 at 10:26 pm.
323	•
324	ANDREW BROWN made a motion, and DAN KERIN seconded, to adjourn the meeting. The
325	motion passed 4-0 at 10:26 pm.
326	
327	Respectfully Submitted,
328	Cathy Ainsworth
329	Recording Secretary
330	Approximately $20^{\pm b}$ to a Mark 2010
331	Approved this 20th day of May, 2019
332	
333 334	(see minutes of this day for corrections, if any)
335	
336	Λ . -1 Λ
337	Patrick Murray, Clerk, Selectboard
338	Patrick Murray Clark Schothoord
339	i autok muitay, Cierk, Sejectioard
000	